



# Norfolk Boreas Offshore Wind Farm Outline Offshore Operations and Maintenance Plan

(Version 23) (Tracked Changes)

DCO Document 8.11

Applicant: Norfolk Boreas Limited Document Reference: 8.11

Pursuant to APFP Regulation: 5(2)(q)

Deadline

Date: February 2020 Revision: Version 3

Author: Royal HaskoningDHV

Photo: Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm





| Date       | Issue<br>No. | Remarks / Reason for Issue  | Author | Checked   | Approved  |
|------------|--------------|---|--------|-----------|-----------|
| 25/03/2019 | 01D          | First draft for Norfolk Boreas Limited review                       | КС     | DT/ JL    | AD        |
| 28/03/2019 | 02D          | Second draft for Norfolk Boreas Limited and MMO review              | кс     | DT        | JL        |
| 16/05/2019 | 03D          | Updated following Deadline 7 of the Norfolk<br>Vanguard Examination | кс     | AD/ DT    | JL        |
| 17/05/2019 | 04D          | Updated following MMO review  | DT     | JL        | AD        |
| 22/05/2019 | 01F          | Final version for DCO submission                                    | кс     | DT        | JL        |
| 18/10/2019 | 02F          | Updated version for Deadline 1                                      | DT     | JL/VR     | JL        |
| 26/02/2020 | <u>03F</u>   | <u>Draft version for Deadline 5</u>                                 | DT     | <u>IT</u> | <u>JL</u> |





## **Table of Contents**

| 1       | Introduction  | 1 |
|---------|---|---|
| 1.1     | Purpose of this Document                                  | 1 |
| 1.2     | Background  | 2 |
| 2       | Discharging the Consent Condition                         | 4 |
| 2.1     | Activity list during the Operations and Maintenance Phase | 4 |
| Appendi | ix 1: Operations and Maintenance List                     | 5 |





# **Glossary of Acronyms**

| DCO  | Development Consent Order               |
|------|---|
| DML  | Deemed Marine Licence                   |
| ES   | Environmental Statement                 |
| MMO  | Marine Management Organisation          |
| MW   | Megawatt                                |
| 0&M  | Operation and Maintenance               |
| OOMP | Offshore Operation and Maintenance Plan |
| UPS  | Uninterruptible Power Supply            |
| VWPL | Vattenfall Wind Power Limited           |





# **Glossary of Terminology**

|                                    | Cables which link wind turbine to wind turbine, and wind   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Array cables                       | turbine to offshore electrical platforms.  |
| Interconnector cables              | Offshore cables which link offshore electrical platforms within the Norfolk Boreas site  |
| Landfall                           | Where the offshore cables come ashore at Happisburgh South   |
| Norfolk Boreas site                | The Norfolk Boreas wind farm boundary. Located offshore, this will contain all the wind farm array.  |
| Offshore cable corridor            | The corridor of seabed from the Norfolk Boreas site to the landfall site within which the offshore export cables will be located.  |
| Offshore electrical platform       | A fixed structure located within the Norfolk Boreas site, containing electrical equipment to aggregate the power from the wind turbines and convert it into a suitable form for export to shore. |
| Offshore export cables             | The cables which transmit power from the offshore electrical platform to the landfall.   |
| Offshore project area              | The area including the Norfolk Boreas site, project interconnector search area and offshore cable corridor.  |
| Offshore service platform          | A platform to house workers offshore and/or provide helicopter refuelling facilities. An accommodation vessel may be used as an alternative for housing workers.                                 |
| Project interconnector cable       | Offshore cables which would link either turbines or an offshore electrical platform in the Norfolk Boreas site with an offshore electrical platform in one of the Norfolk Vanguard OWF sites.    |
| Project interconnector search area | The area within which project interconnector cables would be installed.  |
| Safety zones                       | An area around a vessel which should be avoided during offshore construction   |
| Scour protection                   | Protective materials to avoid sediment being eroded away from the base of the foundations as a result of the flow of water.  |
| The Applicant                      | Norfolk Boreas Limited   |
| The project                        | Norfolk Boreas Offshore Wind Farm, including the onshore and offshore infrastructure   |





### 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose of this Document

- 1. This Outline Offshore Operation and Maintenance Plan (OOMP) has been drafted with specific reference to the interpretation of the definition of "maintain" within the Norfolk Boreas Development Consent Order (DCO).
- 2. The purpose of this document is to provide an outline of reasonably foreseeable offshore maintenance activities and the broad approach to be taken for each activity.
- 3. The final OOMP would be prepared following post-consent detailed design as required under DCO Condition 14(1)(j) of Schedule 9 and 10; Condition 9(1)(j) of Schedule 11 and 12 and Condition 7(1)(i) of Schedule 13
- 4. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) requirements of the project, including all equipment, structures and associated infrastructure, in accordance with design and manufacturer recommendations;
  - Operational health, safety and environment management;
  - Accessibility and constraints;
  - Logistical set up of the O&M base;
  - O&M staff requirement, including numbers and skills;
  - Spare parts and availability; and
  - Planning of scheduled and unscheduled maintenance
- 5. Norfolk Boreas Limited has assessed the following reasonably foreseeable offshore maintenance activities within the Environmental Statement (ES):
  - Scheduled Maintenance:
    - Each turbine will require regular servicing
    - Scheduled maintenance would be undertaken from vessels (e.g. Service
       Offshore Vessel, Crew Transfer Vessel etc.) or helicopters.
  - Unscheduled Maintenance:
    - During the operational period it is anticipated that unscheduled maintenance activity may be required to deal with fault finding and repairs of the turbines, cables and associated offshore infrastructure.
    - Unscheduled maintenance would be undertaken from vessels such as jackup barges, Service Offshore Vessels, Crew Transfer Vessels etc., or helicopters.
- 6. Norfolk Boreas's parent company, Vattenfall, are also developing a sister project, Norfolk Vanguard. The Norfolk Vanguard Project is being developed by Norfolk





Vanguard Limited who like Norfolk Boreas Limited are an affiliate company of Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd (VWPL). The Norfolk Vanguard project is approximately one year ahead of Norfolk Boreas in its development

- 7. This document-does takes account of developments throughout the Norfolk Vanguard examination; in addition, and this version has been updated-to-in response to submissions made to the Norfolk Boreas examination up until dDeadline 4 (30th January 2020). be consistent with the final version of the Norfolk Vanguard Outline OOMP that was resubmitted at Deadline 9 of that examination (10 June 2019).
- 8. Maintenance activities relating to the export cables within the section of the offshore cable corridor where it overlaps with the Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton Special Area of Conservation (SAC) are outlined in Appendix 1 for completeness. However, these activities are considered separately in the Outline Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton SAC Site Integrity Plan (SIP) (document reference 8.20) and must be agreed with the MMO in consultation with relevant Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies in accordance with Condition 9(1)(m) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12. Maintenance works within the Haisborough, Hammond and Winterton SAC will therefore not be considered in the final Operation and Maintenance Plan.
- 9. Appendix 1 of this document outlines the estimated frequency and seabed footprints of the maintenance activities. This has been updated and resubmitted at the Deadline 1 (25<sup>th</sup>-November 2019) of the Norfolk Boreas Examination to take into account changes requested by the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) and Natural England and the final Outline OOMP submitted to the Norfolk Vanguard during the Norfolk Boreas examination.
- 10. The operational impacts are assessed in each offshore technical chapter of the Environmental Statement; Chapter 8 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes; Chapter 9 Marine Water and Sediment Quality; Chapter 10 Benthic Ecology; Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology; Chapter 12 Marine Mammal Ecology; Chapter 13 Offshore Ornithology; Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries; Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation; and Chapter 18 Infrastructure and Other Users.

### 1.2 Background

11. Norfolk Boreas Limited (an affiliate company of Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd (VWPL), 'the Applicant') is seeking a Development Consent Order (DCO) for the proposed Norfolk Boreas offshore wind farm which ever scenario arises (herein 'the project' or 'Norfolk Boreas'), an offshore wind farm in the southern North Sea.





- 12. The Norfolk Boreas site comprises of a 725km² area located approximately 73km from the Norfolk coastline within which wind turbines would be located. Norfolk Boreas would have a maximum export capacity of 1,800 megawatts (MW). The wind farm would be connected to the shore by offshore export cables installed within the offshore cable corridor from the wind farm to a landfall point at Happisburgh South, Norfolk. From there, onshore cables would transport power over approximately 60km to the onshore project substation located near to the village of Necton, Norfolk.
- 13. Norfolk Boreas Limited have included two scenarios within the DCO application; Scenario 1 where Norfolk Vanguard and Norfolk Boreas proceed to construction and Scenario 2 where Norfolk Vanguard does not. These two scenarios are presented in Chapter 5 Project Description of the ES (document reference 6.1.5). The two scenarios have not materially affected the drafting of this document as the operation and maintenance procedures being proposed would be the same regardless of which ever scenario arises. However, it should be noted that if Norfolk Vanguard does not proceed (and if Norfolk Boreas does proceed under Scenario 2) then cables to connect Norfolk Boreas with Norfolk Vanguard (the "project interconnector" cables) would not be required.
- 14. Once built, Norfolk Boreas would have an export capacity of up to 1,800MW, with the offshore components comprising:
  - Wind turbines;
  - Offshore electrical platforms;
  - Offshore Service platform;
  - Met masts;
  - Measuring equipment (LiDAR and wave buoys);
  - Array cables;
  - Interconnector cables or project interconnector cables<sup>1</sup>; and
  - Export cables.
- 15. Norfolk Boreas Limited is currently considering constructing the project using a single phase or a two phased approach (up to a total of 1,800MW).
- 16. Construction of the project under either approach would be anticipated to commence at the earliest in 2021 for the onshore works, and at the earliest around 2025 for the offshore works.

Outline Offshore Operations and Maintenance

Plan

February 2020

Norfolk Boreas Offshore Wind Farm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There may also be a requirement for cables to be placed within the project interconnector search area (Figure 5.1 of the ES) which would link the Norfolk Boreas project to the Norfolk Vanguard project (Section 5.4.12 of ES Chapter 5 Project Description). Either "Interconnector cables", which would link platforms within the Norfolk Boreas site, would be installed or "project interconnector cables" would be installed. Under no scenario would both be required.





### 2 DISCHARGING THE CONSENT CONDITION

### 2.1 Activity list during the Operations and Maintenance Phase

- 17. The list of activities to be undertaken during the O&M phase is provided as Appendix 1. This O&M list is a live document which will be updated and agreed with the MMO as required.
- 18. For each activity, a 'traffic light system' will be used to provide clarity as to those activities that can be carried out under the existing DMLs.
  - Green indicates that an additional marine licence is not required, however notification should be provided to the MMO on works being undertaken;
  - Amber indicates that an additional marine licence may be required if proposed works exceed those assessed within the Environmental Statement or described within the DCO; or
  - Red indicates that an additional marine licence could be required dependant on the type of works to be undertaken.
- 19. Additional activities not outlined in this schedule (including Appendix 1) may, if relevant, require future consents such as a Marine Licence under the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009. Such activities will be discussed with the MMO prior to undertaking if appropriate.





### **APPENDIX 1: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE LIST**

| Potential offshore maintenance activity | Relevant<br>DML/DCO | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the Environmental Statement (for any activity outside those listed, the MMO should be alerted)  | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document   | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required   | Consultation Required with the MMO and relevant SNCB |    |    |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|----|----|
| Wind turbines (topside)                 |                     |                                     |  |  |   |  |    |    |
| Annual wind turbine maintenance         | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | Assessed in the ES within the assumed ES Chapter 5 Project maintenance activities per annum for scheduled Description; and unscheduled maintenance. ES Chapter 8 Marine  | No   | No  |  |    |    |
| Wind turbine troubleshooting            | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | There are a number of potential maintenance  | Geology, Oceanography  | No  | No   |    |    |
| Wind turbine repair                     | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | strategies for the wind farm which will be determined by the final design of the wind farm and procurement of the maintenance contractors.   | and Physical<br>Processes;<br>ES Chapter 9 Marine  | No  | No   |    |    |
| Blade inspection                        | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | The wind farm could be maintained from shore using a number of varying Operation and   | water and sediment quality   | No  | No   |    |    |
| Blade and hub repair                    | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | Maintenance (O&M) vessels (e.g. crew transfer vessels, supply vessels) possibly supported by helicopters. Alternatively, the wind farm could be  | ES Chapter 10 Benthic Ecology; ES Chapter 11 Fish  | No  | No   |    |    |
| Blade replacement                       | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | maintained primarily from an offshore base (e.g. an accommodation vessel (Service Offshore Vessel) or a fixed offshore service platform), with transfer vessels or helicopters also used to transfer personnel to or from turbines and platforms.  Typical maintenance activities would include; general wind turbine service; oil sampling / change; UPS (uninterruptible power supply)-battery change; service and inspections of wind turbine safety equipment, nacelle crane, service lift, HV system, blades. Although it is not anticipated that large components (e.g. wind | and Shellfish ) Ecology;   | No  | No   |    |    |
| Transition piece repair                 | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  |  | vessels or helicopters also used to transfer personnel to or from turbines and platforms.  Typical maintenance activities would include; general wind turbine service; oil sampling / Change; UPS (uninterruptible power supply)- battery change; service and inspections of wind turbine safety equipment, nacelle crane, service lift, HV system, blades. Although it is not  Marine M Ecology; ES Chapte Offshore Ornithological Commercial Commercia | ES Chapter 12 Marine Mammal Ecology;  | No   | No |    |
| Transition piece maintenance            | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  |  |  | Typical maintenance activities would include; general wind turbine service; oil sampling / Ornitholog change; UPS (uninterruptible power supply)- ES Chapter battery change; service and inspections of wind turbine safety equipment, nacelle crane, service Fisheries; lift, HV system, blades. Although it is not ES Chapter |  | No | No |
| Transformer replacement                 | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  |  |  |   | ES Chapter 14<br>Commercial                          | No | No |
| Gearbox repair and replacement          | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  |  |  |   | Fisheries; ES Chapter 15 Shipping and                | No | No |
| Generator replacement                   | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  |  | Navigation.  | No  | No   |    |    |





| Potential offshore maintenance activity | Relevant<br>DML/DCO | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the Environmental Statement (for any activity outside those listed, the MMO should be alerted)  | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required | Consultation<br>Required with<br>the MMO and<br>relevant SNCB |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Paint and repair                        |                     | Assessed in the ES                  | operational phase, the failure of these components is possible. Should this be required, large jack-up   |  | No  | No  |
| J-Tube and ladder cleaning              | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | vessels may need to operate continuously for significant periods to carry out these major maintenance activities.  Operational cleaning of offshore infrastructure would consist of jet washing with seawater, no chemicals would be used in this process. The number of estimated operational visits for maintenance activities, are assessed in the ES on the basis of a maximum of two locations visited by one jack-up vessel (counted as a single movement) to the Norfolk Boreas site per day. This would equate to up to 445 vessel movements per year during operation. A small proportion of these visits would include the cleaning activities. The Jack up vessel has a maximum footprint of 792m² which would lead to a total area of up to 0.58km² per year (assumes large jack up with up to six legs).  Indicative quantities of oils and fluids per turbine:  Gearbox oil: 1000 litres  Hydraulic oil: 1000 litres  Yaw/pitch motor oil: 20 litres  Transformer oil: 1500 litres |  | No  | No  |





| Potential offshore maintenance activity  | Relevant<br>DML/DCO  | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the Environmental Statement (for any activity outside those listed, the MMO should be alerted)   | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document   | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required | Consultation Required with the MMO and relevant SNCB |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Cables outside the Hais  | borough Hamond a   | nd Winterton S                      | AC  |  |   |  |
| Cable inspection   | Generation,<br>Transmission<br>and project<br>interconnector | Assessed in the ES                  | During the life of the project, cable repairs may be required and periodic inspections will be undertaken. Periodic surveys would also be required to ensure the cables remain buried and if they do become exposed, re-burial works would be undertaken.  In most cases a failure would lead to the following operations:  Vessel anchor placement (150m² footprint)  Exposing/unburying the damaged part of the cable, assumed to be approximately 300m length subject to the nature of the repair; | ES Chapter 5 Project Description; ES Chapter 8 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes; ES Chapter 10 Benthic Ecology; ES Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology; ES Chapter 12 | No  | No   |
| Pplacement of cable protection <sup>2</sup> in Nnew areas, of cable protection | Generation,<br>Transmission<br>and project<br>interconnector | N/A                                 | <ul> <li>Cutting the cable;</li> <li>For array cables it may be preferable to lift a whole length of a cable between two turbines, of up to approximately 2km length;</li> <li>Lifting the cable ends to the repair vessel;</li> </ul>  | Marine Mammal Ecology; ES Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries; ES Chapter 15 Shipping and  | Yes   | Yes  |

Outline Offshore Operations and Maintenance Plan

February 2020<del>19</del>

Norfolk Boreas Offshore Wind Farm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In light of inadequate scientific evidence at the time of writing regarding the impacts of plastic frond mattressing, the MMO recommend that polypropylene frond mattresses are not used due to the potential for the release of microplastics directly into the benthic habitat and the lack of evidence to the contrary. Therefore, if at the detailed design stage, there is reliable evidence demonstrating that plastic fronding specifically has negative impacts on the environment that outweigh any potential positive impacts then the project would be required to remove plastic frond mattressing from the design.





| Potential offshore maintenance activity   | Relevant<br>DML/DCO  | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO               | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the Environmental Statement (for any activity outside those listed, the MMO should be alerted)  | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required | Consultation<br>Required with<br>the MMO and<br>relevant SNCB |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Replacement of cable protection <sup>2</sup> in the same area as cable protection installed during construction | Generation<br>and<br>Transmission                            | Parameters<br>in the DML<br>not to be<br>exceeded | <ul> <li>Jointing a new segment of cable to the old cable;</li> <li>Lowering the cable (and joints) back to the seabed; and</li> <li>Cable burial, where possible.</li> </ul> Worst case assumes:  | Navigation.                                | No  | Yes   |
| Cable re-burial   | Generation,<br>Transmission<br>and project<br>interconnector | Assessed in the ES                                | <ul> <li>Maximum of 4 failures per year:         <ul> <li>2 x array cables (assume the whole length of an array cable is replaced – max length 6km based on turbine spacing);</li> <li>1 x Interconnector cables or 1 x project interconnector cables (assume maximum of 300m subject to the nature of repair)*;</li> <li>1 x Export cables (assume 300 metres subject to the nature of repair)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Cables can become exposed due to moving sand</li> </ul>   |  | No  | Yes   |
| Cable repairs including<br>laying of replaced<br>sections of cable  | Generation,<br>Transmission<br>and project<br>interconnector | Assessed in the ES                                | waves but also sometimes due to moving sand waves but also sometimes due to erosion of other soft/mobile sediment (not just sand waves). During the life of the project, periodic surveys would be required to ensure the cables remain buried and if they do become exposed, re-burial works would be undertaken. Post construction surveys in the initial 3-5 years are often dictated by the deemed marine license.  The worst case scenario for array cable re-burial is based on an estimate of 25% of the array cable every 5 years. |  | No  | Yes   |

Page 8





| Potential offshore maintenance activity   | Relevant<br>DML/DCO   | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO                           | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the Environmental Statement (for any activity outside those listed, the MMO should be alerted)  | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document             | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required | Consultation Required with the MMO and relevant SNCB |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|   |   |   | For export cables, the aim would be to avoid requirement for re-burial by using pre-sweeping. A worst case scenario of reburial of up to 20km length per export cable pair is assumed. An estimated reburial length of 1 km at a time is assessed.   |  |   |  |
| Cables within the Haisbor   | rough Hammond   | and Winterson S   | FAC (addressed in the Haisborough Hammond and Wi   | nterson SAC SIP, docun                                 | nent reference                                    | 8.20)  |
| Cable inspection using surface protection   | Generation<br>and<br>Transmission<br>tion and<br>Transmission | Assessed in<br>ES<br>ters in the<br>DML not to<br>be exceeded | During the life of the project, cable repairs may be required and periodic inspections will be undertaken. Periodic surveys would also be required to ensure the cables remain buried and if they do become exposed, re-burial works would be undertaken.  | Information to<br>Support HRA Report<br>(document 5.3) | No<br>No<br>No                                    | Yes<br><del>Yes</del><br><del>Yes</del>              |
| Placement of cable protection <sup>2</sup> in new areas   | Transmission  | N/A   | In most cases a failure would lead to the following operation:  Vessel anchor placement (150m² footprint)  Exposing/unburying the damaged part of the cable, assumed to be approximately 300m  |  | Yes   | Yes  |
| Replacement of cable protection <sup>2</sup> in the same area as cable protection installed during construction | Generation<br>and<br>Transmission                             | Parameters<br>in the DML<br>not to be<br>exceeded             | <ul> <li>cable, assumed to be approximately 300m length subject to the nature of the repair;</li> <li>Cutting the cable;</li> <li>Lifting the cable ends to the repair vessel;</li> <li>Jointing a new segment of cable to the old cable;</li> <li>Lowering the cable (and joints) back to the seabed; and</li> <li>Cable burial.</li> </ul> |  | No  | Yes  |





| Potential offshore maintenance activity                      | Relevant<br>DML/DCO               | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the Environmental Statement (for any activity outside those listed, the MMO should be alerted)   | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document                      | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required | Consultation<br>Required with<br>the MMO and<br>relevant SNCB |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Cable re-burial  | Generation<br>and<br>Transmission | Assessed in the ES                  | While it is not possible to determine the number and location of repair works that may be required during the life of the project, it is estimated that, of the one export cable repair per year discussed above, an average estimate of one export cable repair every  |   | No  | Yes   |
| Cable repairs including laying of replaced sections of cable | Generation<br>and<br>Transmission | Assessed in the ES                  | - 10 years within the SAC is included in the assessment (i.e. one cable repair per year is estimated for the whole corridor and every 10 years, on average this repair may occur in the SAC).  Cables can become exposed due to moving sand waves but also sometimes due to erosion of other soft/mobile sediment (not just sand waves).  During the life of the project, periodic surveys would be required to ensure the cables remain buried and if they do become exposed, re-burial works would be undertaken. |   | No  | Yes   |
|  |                                   |                                     | The aim would be to avoid requirement for any reburial by using pre-sweeping. A worst case scenario for reburial of up to 4km per cable pair within the SAC at approximately 5 year intervals has been assessed based on a worst case scenario that no pre-sweeping is undertaken during installation.  |   |   |   |
| Wind Turbine, Metmast  | _                                 |                                     |   |   |   |   |
| Foundation inspection  | Generation                        | Assessed in the ES                  | Within the assumed maintenance activities per annum for scheduled and unscheduled   | ES Chapter 5 Project Description;                               | No  | No  |
| Foundation repair  | Generation                        | Assessed in the ES                  | maintenance.  | ES Chapter 8 Marine<br>Geology,<br>Oceanography<br>and Physical | No  | No  |





| Potential offshore maintenance activity        | Relevant<br>DML/DCO | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO               | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the Environmental Statement (for any activity outside those listed, the MMO should be alerted)   | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document  | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required | Consultation<br>Required with<br>the MMO and<br>relevant SNCB |
|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |                     |   |   | Processes; ES Chapter 10 Benthic Ecology; ES Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology; ES Chapter 12 Marine Mammal Ecology; ES Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries; ES Chapter 15 Shipping and Navigation. |   |   |
| Foundation replacement                         | Generation          | N/A   | Replacement of a failed foundation is considered to be a highly unlikely event. Should such an occurrence take place then consent for the replacement of the failed foundation would be obtained from the MMO prior to commencement.                                      | N/A   | Yes   | Yes   |
| Additional scour protection around foundations | Generation          | Parameters<br>in the DML<br>not to be<br>exceeded | Scour protection is included in the worst case scenario of 100% foundations requiring scour protection.  The values per foundation presented in the Outline Scour Protection and Cable Protection Plan (document 8.16) must not be exceeded over the life of the project. | Maximum parameters included in construction phase: ES Chapter 5 Project Description; ES Chapter 8 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes;  | No  | Yes   |





| Potential offshore maintenance activity                                     | Relevant<br>DML/DCO | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the<br>Environmental Statement (for any activity outside<br>those listed, the MMO should be alerted) | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document  | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required | Consultation<br>Required with<br>the MMO and<br>relevant SNCB |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|   |                     |                                     |   | ES Chapter 9 Marine Water and Sediment Quality; ES Chapter 10 Benthic Ecology; ES Chapter 11 Fish and Shellfish Ecology; ES Chapter 12 Marine Mammal Ecology; ES Chapter 14 Commercial Fisheries. |   |   |
| Offshore Electrical Platfo  | rms                 |                                     |   |   |   |   |
| Inspections   | Transmission        | Assessed in the ES                  | Within the assumed maintenance activities per annum for scheduled and unscheduled   |   | No  | No  |
| General maintenance<br>work, eg oil<br>replacement,<br>mechanical works etc | Transmission        | Assessed in the ES                  | maintenance.  Offshore electrical platforms would typically require an average of 1 visit / week although this                        |   | No  | No  |
| Switchgear replacement  | Transmission        | Assessed in the ES                  | may be more during unscheduled maintenance.   |   | No  | No  |
| Other   |                     |                                     |   |   |   |   |
| Davit crane inspection  | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | Within the assumed maintenance activities per annum for scheduled and unscheduled   | ES Chapter 5 Project Description;   | No  | No  |
| Fuel replenishment to crew transfer vessel                                  | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | maintenance.  | ES Chapter 8 Marine<br>Geology,   | No  | No  |
| Re-fuelling of generator on the Sub-station                                 | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | See worst case in terms of 'topside-related replacement, refurbishment and repair activities'   | Oceanography and Physical   | No  | No  |
| Grout and corrosion works   | Generation          | Assessed in the ES                  | for wind turbines.  | Processes;<br>ES Chapter 10   | No  | No  |





| Potential offshore maintenance activity | Relevant<br>DML/DCO | Included in<br>the ES<br>and/or DCO | Realistic Worst Case assessed in the Environmental Statement (for any activity outside those listed, the MMO should be alerted) | Location in the<br>Application<br>Document | Additional<br>licence<br>likely to be<br>required | Consultation<br>Required with<br>the MMO and<br>relevant SNCB |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Crane transfers from                    | Generation          | Assessed in                         |   | Benthic Ecology;                           | No  | No  |
| vessel to either WTG's                  |                     | the ES                              |   | ES Chapter 11 Fish                         |   |   |
| or to quayside O&M                      |                     |                                     |   | and Shellfish                              |   |   |
| Building or vice-versa                  |                     |                                     |   | Ecology;                                   |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | ES Chapter 12                              |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | Marine Mammal                              |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | Ecology;                                   |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | ES Chapter 14                              |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | Commercial                                 |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | Fisheries;                                 |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | ES Chapter 15                              |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | Shipping and                               |   |   |
|   |                     |                                     |   | Navigation.                                |   |   |

<sup>\*</sup>Note that either interconnector cable or project interconnector cable could be required but never both.